1	BILL LOCKYER,				
2	Attorney General of the State of California HERSCHEL T. ELKINS,				
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN,				
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN, Bar No. 192203 Deputy Attorney General				
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2643 Facsimile: (213) 897-4951				
7					
8	ELIZABETH A. EGAN, Fresno County District Attorney JAMES R. LUPPINO, Bar No. 37197				
9	Senior Deputy District Attorney Business Affairs Unit				
10	1250 Van Ness Avenue, Second Floor				
11	Fresno, California 93721 Telephone: (559) 488-3156 Facsimile: (559) 495-1315				
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff,				
13	the People of the State of California				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO				
16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	CAGENO			
16	THE TEOTEE OF THE STITE OF CHEM ON IN) CASE NO.			
17	Plaintiff,))			
))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL			
17	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California))) COMPLAINT FOR			
17 18	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR,))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN,))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN, INC.; CORWIN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION; MATTHEW OHRNSTEIN, an individual; BILL))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN, INC.; CORWIN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION; MATTHEW OHRNSTEIN, an individual; BILL LAWRENCE, an individual; DAVID C. RIGGAN, an individual; DEBRA L. MORRIS, an individual;))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN, INC.; CORWIN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION; MATTHEW OHRNSTEIN, an individual; BILL LAWRENCE, an individual; DAVID C. RIGGAN,))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN, INC.; CORWIN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION; MATTHEW OHRNSTEIN, an individual; BILL LAWRENCE, an individual; DAVID C. RIGGAN, an individual; DEBRA L. MORRIS, an individual; TOM COLEMAN, an individual; AND DOES 1))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN, INC.; CORWIN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION; MATTHEW OHRNSTEIN, an individual; BILL LAWRENCE, an individual; DAVID C. RIGGAN, an individual; DEBRA L. MORRIS, an individual; TOM COLEMAN, an individual; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE,))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC., a California Corporation, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; D.R. LONG, LTD, doing business as CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS; CHAPPARONE AUTO BODY OF MIRAMAR, INC.; SAN MARCOS AUTO BODY, INC.; F & R VENTURES, INC.; RICHARD J. KELLEJIAN, INC.; CORWIN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION; MATTHEW OHRNSTEIN, an individual; BILL LAWRENCE, an individual; DAVID C. RIGGAN, an individual; DEBRA L. MORRIS, an individual; TOM COLEMAN, an individual; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE,))) COMPLAINT FOR) INJUNCTION, CIVIL) PENALTIES AND OTHER			

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("Plaintiff" or the "People"), by and through Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, alleges on information and belief:

DEFENDANTS

- 1. Defendant Caliber Bodyworks, Inc., doing business as Caliber Collision Centers ("Caliber"), is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 92614. Caliber is registered with the Bureau of Automotive Repair to do business at various locations. As such, Caliber Bodyworks, Inc. advertises to, and repairs cars for, California consumers.
- 2. Defendant D.R. Long, LTD. ("Long"), doing business as Caliber Collision Centers, has its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 93614. Long is registered by the Bureau of Automotive Repair to do business at various locations and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caliber. As such, Long advertises to, and repairs cars for, California consumers.
- 3. Defendant Chapparone Auto Body of Miramar, Inc. ("Chapparone"), is a California Corporation with its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 93614. Chapparone is registered with the Bureau of Automotive Repair and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caliber. Chapparone advertises to, and repairs cars for, California consumers.
- 4. Defendant San Marcos Auto Body, Inc. ("San Marcos"), is a California Corporation, with its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 93614. San Marcos is registered with the Bureau of Automotive Repair and are a wholly owned subsidiary of Caliber. San Marcos advertises to, and repairs cars for, consumers in California.
- 5. F & R Ventures, Inc. ("F & R"), has its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 93614. F & R is registered to do business with the Bureau of Automotive Repair at various locations and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caliber. As such, F & R advertises to, and repairs cars for, California consumers.

- 6. Defendant Richard J. Kellejian, Inc. ("Kellejian") has its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 93614. Kellejian is registered to do business with the Bureau of Automotive Repair at various locations and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caliber. As such, Kellejian advertises to, and repairs cars for, California consumers.
- 7. Defendant Corwin Industries Corporation ("Corwin") has its principal place of business at 17771 Cowan Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, California 93614. Corwin is registered to do business with the Bureau of Automotive Repair at various locations and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caliber. As such, Corwin advertises to, and repairs cars for, California consumers.
- 8. Defendant Matthew Ohrnstein ("Ohrnstein") is an individual and is sued in his individual capacity. Ohrnstein is the Chief Executive Officer, the Chairman, and the Director of Caliber. Ohrnstein is also the Chief Executive Officer of Chapparone, Long, Kellejian, F & R, San Marcos and Corwin. As such, Ohrnstein participates in the direction, control and management of Caliber, Chapparone, Long, F & R, Corwin, and San Marcos and has ratified the activities of the defendants complained of herein.
- 9. Defendant Bill Lawrence ("Lawrence") is an individual and is sued in his individual capacity. Lawrence is the President and Chief Operating Officer of Caliber. As such, Lawrence participates in the direction, control and management of Caliber and has ratified the activities of Caliber complained of herein.
- 10. Defendant David C. Riggan ("Riggan") is an individual and is sued in his individual capacity. Riggan is Caliber's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Riggan has responsibility for all of Caliber's accounting and information technology functions, financial reporting, cash management, operations budget, risk management, and corporate financial planning. Riggan is also the Secretary and Chief Financial Officer for Chapparone, F & R, San Marcos, Long, Corwin and Kellejian and is the vice president and treasurer of F&R. As such, Riggan participates in the direction, control and management of Caliber, Chapparone, F & R, San Marcos, Long, Corwin, and Kellejian and has ratified the activities of Caliber,

Chapparone, F & R, San Marcos, Long, Corwin, and Kellejian complained of herein.

- 11. Defendant Debra L. Morris ("Morris") is an individual and is sued in her individual capacity. Morris has served as Chapparone's Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer. Morris has served as the Secretary and Chief Financial Officer of Long, Caliber, and Kellejian. Morris also acted as the secretary, vice president, and treasurer of San Marcos and as vice president of Kellejian. As such, Morris has participated in the direction, control and management of Chapparone, Long, Caliber, Kellejian, and San Marcos and has ratified the activities of Chapparone, Long, Caliber, Kellejian and San Marcos complained of herein.
- 12. Defendant Tom Coleman ("Coleman") is an individual and is sued in his individual capacity. Coleman is the Senior Vice President of California operations for Caliber. Coleman also has managed Caliber's Costa Mesa location, has had an internal consulting role where he was instrumental in developing many of Caliber's initial standard operating procedures, and has acted as Vice President of Caliber where he created proprietary management tools designed to analyze key center performance indicators. As such, Coleman participates in the direction, control and management of Caliber and has ratified the activities of Caliber complained of herein.
- 13. Plaintiff is not aware of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Each fictitiously named defendant is responsible in some manner for the violations of law herein alleged. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to add the true names of the fictitiously named defendants once they are discovered. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to "defendants" such reference shall include Does 1 through 20 and defendants Caliber, Long, Chapparone, Kellejian, F & R, San Marcos, Corwin, Morris, Ohrnstein, Riggan, Coleman and Lawrence.
- 14. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of any corporate or other business defendant, such allegation shall mean that said defendant and its owners, officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives did or authorized such acts while engaged in the management, direction, or control of the affairs of defendants and while acting within the scope

and course of their duties.

- 15. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of defendants, such allegation shall mean that each defendant acted individually and jointly with the other defendants named in that cause of action.
- 16. Whenever in this complaint reference is made to any act of any individual defendant, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that said defendant is and was acting (a) as a principal, (b) under express or implied agency, and/or (c) with actual or ostensible authority to perform the acts so alleged on behalf of every other defendant herein.
- 17. At all times mentioned herein, each defendant knew or realized, or should have known or realized, that the other defendants were engaging in or planned to engage in the violations of law alleged in this complaint. Knowing or realizing that other defendants were engaging in such unlawful conduct, each defendant nevertheless facilitated the commission of those unlawful acts. Each defendant intended to and did encourage, facilitate, or assist in the commission of the unlawful acts, and thereby aided and abetted the other defendants in the unlawful conduct.
- 18. The violations of law alleged in this complaint occurred in Fresno County and elsewhere throughout California.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 (UNFAIR COMPETITION)

(Against All Defendants)

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 19. Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, restates and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 18 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Beginning on an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, defendants, and each of them, in conducting their automotive repair business have engaged in, and are still engaging in, acts of unfair competition, within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17200, including, but not limited to, the following acts:
- Α. Invoicing and accepting payment from consumers and/or their insurance companies for goods and/or services that were not provided or performed.

- B. Violating Business and Professions Code section 9884.8 by 1) failing to give customers a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job; 2) using rebuilt, used or reconditioned parts and failing to clearly state that fact on the invoice; and 3) failing to list service and parts separately on the invoice.
- C. Violating Business and Professions Code section 9884.9(a) by performing work and/or allowing charges to accrue before the customer gave authorization to proceed.
- D. Violating 16 California Code of Regulations section 3353 by engaging in work without proper prior customer authorization including: 1) performing work prior to providing the customer with a written estimate for parts or labor; 2) failing to document oral authorizations; and 3) failing to provide an estimate for repairs after a tear-down has been performed.
- E. Violating 16 California Code of Regulations section 3371 by publishing, uttering, making or causing to be published, uttered or made any false or misleading statement or advertising which is known to be false or misleading or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to be false or misleading.
- F. Violating 16 California Code of Regulations section 3373 by billing for parts and labor on an invoice and performing labor or supplying parts in a manner that caused the document to be false or misleading and/or have the tendency or effect of misleading or deceiving customers or the public.
- G. Willfully departing from or disregarding accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair without the consent of the owner or the owner's duly authorized agent.
- H. Violating Civil Code section 1770(a) subsection 5 by representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits or quantities which they did not have.
- I. Violating Civil Code section 1770(a) subsection 6 by representing that goods are original or new if they have deteriorated unreasonably or are altered, reconditioned, reclaimed, used or secondhand.

28 ///

unauthorized services or parts, some of which were never performed or installed.

23. Defendants knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, at the time of making these statements, or causing these statements to be made, that the statements set forth in paragraph 22 were untrue or misleading.

WHEREFORE, the People pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. That pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, defendants, their successors, agents, representatives, employees and all persons who act in concert with defendants be permanently enjoined from engaging in unfair competition as defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200, including, but not limited to, the acts and practices alleged in this complaint.
- 2. That pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17535, defendants, their successors, agents, representatives, employees and all persons who act in concert with defendants be permanently enjoined from making any untrue or misleading statements in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17500, including, but not limited to, the untrue or misleading statements alleged in this complaint.
- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, that the Court assess a civil penalty of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) against defendants for each violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200, as proved at trial, but in an amount of not less than \$25,000,000.00.
- 4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17536, that the Court assesses a civil penalty of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) against defendants for each violation of Business and Professions Code section 17500, as proved at trial, but in an amount of not less than \$25,000,000.00.
- 5. That defendants be ordered to make direct restitution of any money or other property that may have been acquired by their violations of Business and Professions Code sections 17200 and 17500.

1	///				
2	6.	6. That the People recover their costs of suit.			
3	7.	Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.			
4 5	DATED: Do	ecember 4, 2003	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, Society Assistant Attorney General		
6			Senior Assistant Attorney General ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN,		
7			Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN, Deputy Attorney General		
8					
9			By CHRISTINA V. TUSAN		
10			Deputy Attorney General		
11 12			Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California		
13			the reopie of the State of Camorina		
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		8			
	Complaint for Injunction, Civil Penalties and Other Equitable Relief				